STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DW 16-806

Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Request for Change in Rates

DIRECT JOINT TESTIMONY OF LARRY D. GOODHUE AND DONALD L. WARE IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR TEMPORARY RATES

September 23, 2016

Docket No. DW 16-806 Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Joint Testimony of Larry D. Goodhue and Donald L. Ware Page 1 of 7

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your names and positions with Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.
- 3 A. We are Larry D. Goodhue, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Donald L. Ware, Chief
- 4 Operating Officer ("COO") of Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. ("PWW").
- 5 Q. Mr. Goodhue, please state your professional and educational background.
- 6 A. My name is Larry D. Goodhue. My business address is 25 Manchester Street,
- Merrimack, New Hampshire. I am the CEO of PWW, and I am also the CEO of
- 8 Pennichuck Corporation ("Pennichuck"), which is the corporate parent of PWW. I have
- been employed in the CEO capacity since November 6, 2015. Prior to serving as CEO, I
- served as Chief Financial Officer of Pennichuck and PWW. In addition to serving as
- 11 CEO for both PWW and Pennichuck, I also serve as CFO for both entities, as well as
- Treasurer for each company. Prior to joining Pennichuck, I was the Vice President of
- Finance and Administration and previously the Controller with METRObility Optical
- Systems, Inc. from September, 2000 to June 2006. In my more recent role with
- METRObility, I was responsible for all financial, accounting, treasury and administration
- functions for a manufacturer of optical networking hardware and software. Prior to
- joining METRObility, I held various senior management and accounting positions in
- several companies.
- 19 Q. Mr. Goodhue, please describe your educational background.
- 20 A. I have a Bachelor in Science degree in Business Administration with a major in
- 21 Accounting from Merrimack College in North Andover, Massachusetts. I am a licensed
- 22 Certified Public Accountant in New Hampshire; my license is currently in inactive status.

- 1 Q. Mr. Goodhue, what are your responsibilities as CEO of Pennichuck?
- 2 A. As CEO, I am responsible for the overall management of Pennichuck and its subsidiaries.
- including PWW, and I report to the Board of Directors. I work with the COO, the
- 4 Corporate Controller, Assistant Treasurer, the Director of Human Resources and the
- 5 Director of Information Technology to: (1) implement short and long-term financial and
- operating strategies; (2) insure the adequate funding of debt and expenses; and (3) enable
- 7 Pennichuck's utility subsidiaries to provide high quality water service at affordable rates.
- 8 on a consistent basis.
- 9 Q. Mr. Ware, please state your professional and educational background.
- 10 A. I have been employed with PWW since April 1995. I am a licensed professional
- engineer in New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Maine. I have a Bachelor in Science
- degree in Civil Engineering from Bucknell University in Lewisburg, Pennsylvania. I
- have a Masters in Business Administration from the Whittemore Business School at the
- University of New Hampshire. Prior to joining PWW, I served as the General Manager
- of the Augusta Water District in Augusta, Maine from 1986 to 1995. I served as the
- District's engineer between 1982 and 1986.
- 17 Q. Mr. Ware, what are your responsibilities as COO?
- 18 A. As COO of PWW, I am responsible for the overall operations of PWW, including water
- quality and supply, distribution, engineering and water system capital improvements.
- With regard to capital improvements overseen by PWW's Engineering Department, I
- work directly with the Company's Chief Engineer and each of the Company's Department
- managers in the selection and implementation of new capital improvement projects.

Docket No. DW 16-806 Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Joint Testimony of Larry D. Goodhue and Donald L. Ware Page 3 of 7

1 Q. What is the purpose of your joint testimony?

- This joint testimony has been prepared to support PWW's request for an overall 2 A. temporary rate increase of 6.21%, or an annual increase in revenues of \$1,771,116 based 3 on pro forma revenues of \$28,525,429, as indicated at Tab 6 (see "Pro Forma Schedule 9 4 Temporary") and as detailed in the schedules filed at Tab 13. PWW seeks temporary 5 rates at 80% of the proposed percentage increase to permanent rates as set forth in the last 6 column of the schedule identified as "Pro Forma Schedule 9 Permanent" at Tab 6 of 7 PWW's rate case filing. It is critical that PWW be granted temporary rates in late 2016 8 or, at the latest, February 28, 2017, on a recoupable basis back to the date of the filing in 9 order to provide the needed cash flows to remain in compliance with operating and 10 financial covenants at both the PWW and Pennichuck level. Additionally, the granting of 11 temporary rates in this manner will also mitigate the rate impact on customers associated 12 with recouping nearly a full year of revenues from a permanent rate increase, by 13 lessening and spreading this impact between a granting of temporary rates and permanent 14 15 rates.
- Q. Will the proposed temporary rate increase be spread uniformly across the various customer classes?
- 18 A. Yes. PWW is not seeking to alter its rate design with this petition.
- 19 II. ANALYSIS OF REVENUE DEFICIENCY
- Q. Would you please explain Schedule A Perm-Conventional entitled "Pennichuck
 Water Works, Inc.", Computation of Revenue Deficiency?
- 22 A. Schedule A Perm-Conventional (at Tab 13) was prepared to illustrate PWW's revenue

Docket No. DW 16-806 Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Joint Testimony of Larry D. Goodhue and Donald L. Ware Page 4 of 7

deficiency using the ratemaking methodology approved in Docket No. DW11-026 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, which is the test year used in this docket. As shown on this schedule, PWW's Rate of return is 4.83%, based on the test year's weighted average cost of debt and a return on equity of 5.84% based on methodology authorized in Order No. 25,292 in Docket No. DW 11-026. The revenue deficiency under the current ratemaking methodology for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 was \$987,522 and would result in a revenue increase of 3.41%. This revenue deficiency calculation is based on PWW's actual performance during the test year including pro forma adjustments. The pro forma adjustments are explained in detail in Mr. Ware's Direct Testimony concerning permanent rates. Based on a pro forma test year, under the proposed modified ratemaking methodology, PWW would be entitled to a proposed permanent revenue increase of 7.86% based on a revenue deficiency of \$2,242,509, as shown on Sch A Perm-Modified (at Tab 13). Please describe the changes to PWW's plant in service and its earned return since Q. the conclusion of its last rate case. PWW's plant in service increased by \$18,100,715 million to approximately \$136,500,645 A. million at the end of 2015 when compared to the plant in service at the end of 2012, the test year for PWW's last rate filing. For the same period, it should be noted that PWW's operating expenses increased by approximately \$1,129,684 or 9.6%. Please explain the reasons for PWW's revenue deficiency. Q. PWW's revenue deficiency is due to a mismatch in the cash flow generated from a return A.

on rate base and depreciation expense to the cash flow required to meet the debt service

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Docket No. DW 16-806 Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Joint Testimony of Larry D. Goodhue and Donald L. Ware Page 5 of 7

1		needs of PWW's fully amortizing debt obligations. This mismatch is driven by the fact
2		that the lives of PWW's debt instruments are 39-52% shorter than the average
3		depreciation lives of PWW's plant in service. Additionally, PWW has experienced
4		significant increases on the majority of its operating expenses at approximately 3% per
5		annum, with certain material expenses like state and local property taxes rising at a rate
6		of between 5-8% per annum.
7	Q.	What is the basis of requesting 80% of the permanent request as a temporary
8		request?
9	A.	The request of 80% of the permanent rate request as a temporary rate request, on a
10		recoupable basis, is the need to bring cash flow into PWW in a timely manner, needing to
11		meet PWW's debt service repayment obligations and operating expenses, as well as
12		maintain and/or rectify compliance with loan covenants both at the PWW level, and at
13		the Pennichuck parent level, for both the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016, and the
14		fiscal year beginning January 1, 2017.
15	Q.	Are you recommending a temporary rate increase for all classes of customers?
16	A.	Yes. The attached schedule entitled "Report of Proposed Rate Changes, Temporary
17		Rates" reflects a temporary increase across each customer class. (See Tab 6, "Pro Forma
18		Schedule 9 Temporary.") The requested temporary rate increase of 6.21% will increase
19		the average single family residential customer bill by \$3.11 per month.
20	Q.	Mr. Ware, what steps will PWW take to notify customers of the proposed
21		temporary rate increase?
22	A.	In accordance with Puc 1203.02(c) and (d), PWW will be notifying its customers

Docket No. DW 16-806
Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.
Joint Testimony of Larry D.
Goodhue and Donald L. Ware
Page 6 of 7

regarding its rate case filing within thirty days of PWW's initial filing. PWW has

provided a form of customer notice as part of its permanent rate case materials (at Tab 7

of these materials), which, upon approval by the Commission's Consumer Affairs

Division, it proposes to include as part of a direct mailing to customers.

5 Q. Mr. Ware, when is PWW requesting that temporary rates become effective?

6 A. PWW is requesting that temporary rates become effective for service rendered as of September 23, 2016, and that they be fully recoupable.

8 III. CONCLUSION

9 Q. Do you believe that the temporary rates requested by PWW are reasonable and in
the public interest?

Yes. As demonstrated by the analysis described above and in the rate case materials filed by PWW in this docket, PWW is now substantially under-earning due to the time since PWW's last rate case, an increase in costs, and the addition of plant in service. The requested temporary rates will also serve to mitigate rate shock should the Commission ultimately determine to approve permanent rates and a step adjustment at the levels requested by PWW. Due to the substantial under-earning status of PWW, the approval of temporary rates at the level requested will allow PWW to collect sufficient revenues to satisfy its principal and interest obligations, and its covenant requirements, with respect to its debt. The approval of temporary rates effective as of September 23, 2016 on a service rendered basis will further mitigate rate shock to customers and provide funds necessary to continue to meet operating and debt service obligations.

A.

Docket No. DW 16-806 Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Joint Testimony of Larry D. Goodhue and Donald L. Ware Page 7 of 7

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony on temporary rates?
- 2 A. Yes.